



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/017,035	12/14/2001	Carlos Gonzalez	SDK1P003/246	6540
22434 7:	590 02/25/2004	EXAMINER		
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP			LE, VU ANH	
P.O. BOX 778 BERKELEY, CA 94704-0778			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			2824	
		D. M. C. L. L. ED. 00 10 10 10 10		

DATE MAILED: 02/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/017,035	GONZALES ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Vu A. Le	2824				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status		·				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 June 2002.						
<i>;</i> —	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>34-36</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,11,12,17,18 and 21-33</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>5-10,13-16,19 and 20</u> is/are objected	to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Solution of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/017,035 Page 2

Art Unit: 2824

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of group I, claims 1-33 in Paper No. 11 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that "Group I and Group II are sufficiently related to one another such that it would be not only more efficient but also more cost effective to prosecute all claims in a single application. Still further, examining all claims in a single application would not be an undue burden on the Examiner". This is not found persuasive because there is no reason provided to show why examining all claims in a single application would not be an undue burden on the Examiner and would be more efficient. The groups I and II direct to two different class and sub-class which require the knowledge about two different arts to exam all claims. Therefore, the restriction is proper.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 9 is identical with claim 7

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 11-12, 17-18 and 21-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Holtzman et al (US 2003/0028699) in view of Ferrant (6,563,749).

Holtzman et al (Fig.1 and 2) disclose a memory system (100) that couples to a host (160) comprising a plurality of storage elements (111) and a controller (131). Holtzman et al fails to disclose or suggest that the plurality of storage elements having a first portion with low density and a second portion with high density. However, Ferrent (Fig.5) discloses a memory with a first portion (SMC memory portion) with low density (SRAM) and a second portion (MC memory portion) with high density (DRAM) to increase the production yield. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time this invention was made to modify Holtzman et al by using the Ferrant memory storage element in Holtzman et al's memory system to increase the production yield.

Application/Control Number: 10/017,035 Page 4

Art Unit: 2824

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 5-10, 13-16 and 19-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 5. Nishizawa et al (US 2001/000950) disclose a memory card with different kind of memory.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vu A. Le whose telephone number is (571)272-1871. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:00-3:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Elms can be reached on (571)-272-1869. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vu A. Le

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2824

leanhu

02/22/04